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ABSTRACT

The proposed West Flank FORGE site is located immediately west and outside of the Coso geothermal field on Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake (NAWSCL) in eastern, CA. Data confirm that the West Flank site consists of predominantly crystalline granitic basement rocks, with low permeability, and temperatures in excess of 175°C at ~1.5 km beneath the surface. Well testing data from within the proposed FORGE test area confirm that the West Flank site is not hydrologically connected to the neighboring Coso geothermal field. Stress data suggest that the natural fracture system is well oriented for reactivation during EGS stimulation. The 3D geologic framework model for West Flank confirms that these characteristics are present in the subsurface throughout the site, and indicate that a minimum volume of rock of ~2.5 km³ within 4 km of the surface satisfy the Department of Energy’s FORGE criteria. As such the proposed West Flank site represents an ideal environment for research, development, testing, and validation of EGS technologies under the FORGE initiative.

1. Introduction

The Department of Energy (DOE) Frontier Observatory for Research in Geothermal Energy (FORGE) project is designed to test and report on techniques needed to make Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS) a commercially viable electricity generation option for the United States.

Figure 1. Regional map of the Coso Range/Indian Wells Valley area. Faults from USGS Quaternary fault and fold database (United States Geologic Survey, 2006). Yellow polygon indicates the proposed West Flank FORGE site, red box is the extent of the 3D geologic model.
The objective of FORGE is to establish and manage a dedicated site where the scientific and engineering community can research, develop and test new technologies and improve upon existing technologies in an environment that is well characterized and well instrumented, and has optimal target reservoir temperature, depth, lithology, and permeability for EGS. Here we present the geologic framework of the proposed West Flank FORGE site as interpreted through integration of a wide variety of existing geologic, geophysical, and geochemical data.

These data, which include geologic map data, lithologic and alteration interpretations from ~15,000 m of core and well cuttings from boreholes in and around the site, well-test data, stress data, thermal data, geochemistry data, alteration data, gravity and magnetic data, a 25 year micro-earthquake catalogue, magnetotelluric data, and interpreted seismic reflection profiles were compiled into a 3D geologic framework model of the West Flank site. These data and the 3D geologic framework model, demonstrate that the West Flank site meets all criteria established by DOE for the FORGE project; i.e. 1) temperatures between 175-225°C, at 2) depths between 1.5-4.0 km below ground surface, in 3) crystalline rocks, with 4) low permeability, in 5) a stress regime that is favorable for permeability generation through stimulation, and in 6) a site that is not within an existing hydrothermal system. The satisfaction of these criteria as well as the existing infrastructure, necessary safety, data curation, environmental plans, and the involvement of all community stakeholders demonstrates that West Flank is an ideal location for continuing FORGE activities.

The proposed West Flank site is located ~56 km north of Ridgecrest, CA and ~12 km east of California Route 395, a major highway on the eastern side of Sierra Nevada Mountains (Figure 1). The West Flank site is completely contained within NAWSCl, a large and highly secure Navy weapons research, development, testing, and evaluation installation. Required security protocols needed to enter NAWSCl and the West Flank will not affect any activities within the site, as site access is facilitated by the Navy Geothermal Program Office on behalf of the NAWSCl Command. In total ~4.6 km² area is directly available for development of infrastructure on the FORGE site and more than 24,000 acres is available for related instrumentation.

2. Geologic Setting

2.1 Regional Setting

The proposed West Flank FORGE site lies within the volcanically, seismically, and tectonically active Coso Range at the boundary between the Sierra Nevada (Sierran) microplate and the Basin and Range province. The Sierran block moves ~13 mm/year to the northwest with respect to stable North America. The motion is accommodated by dextral strike-slip and normal faulting in the Eastern California Shear zone/Walker Lane belt, a ~100 km wide zone of dextral shear deformation along the eastern side of the Sierra Nevada (Dokka and Travis, 1990; Faulds and Henry, 2008; Stewart, 1988).

The West Flank site lies in a right step-over between the west-northwest to northwest-striking dextral Airport Lake and Little Lake faults to the south, and the northwest-striking dextral Owens Valley and Wild Horse Mesa faults located north (Figure 1). Within this ~20 km wide step-over with apparent pull-apart geometry, the West Flank FORGE site lies on a horst block consisting of Mesozoic basement rocks. Relative uplift of the horst block is broadly controlled by north-northeast-striking, east-dipping normal faults on the east side and north-northeast-striking, west-dipping faults on the west side. These faults, along with west-northwest to northwest-striking dextral-normal faults, step to the left, defining the horst block (Figure 2).

2.2 Local Geologic Setting

The Mesozoic plutonic rocks at the West Flank site are predominantly granitic to dioritic and correlate with the Sierra Nevada Batholith (Duffield et al., 1980; Whitmarsh, 1998a, 1998b). The Mesozoic plutonic rocks intrude felsic metavolcanic and other metamorphic rocks that range from Mesozoic to Precambrian (Duffield and Bacon, 1981; Whitmarsh, 1998a, 1998b), though these metamorphic units are not exposed at the surface at West Flank nor are they evident in the eight wells analyzed for lithologic data at West Flank.

Pliocene to Recent volcanic rocks of the Coso volcanic field unconformably overly the Mesozoic basement rocks at the West Flank site (Figure 2 and 3). The Coso volcanic field is composed primarily of Pleistocene and younger rhyolite
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domes, minor basalt flows, and associated volcaniclastic and epiclastic rocks. Rhyolite domes range in age from ~625 ka to ~85 ka (40Ar/39Ar and zircon geochronology; Simon et al., 2009). These youngest domes include Sugarloaf dome which is the dominant topographic feature at the West Flank (Figure 2 and 3). The magmatic system associated with the Coso volcanic field is maintained by the intrusion of basaltic magmas at depth, likely associated with ongoing Basin and Range-style lithospheric extension (Duffield et al., 1980; Manley and Bacon, 2000). The Coso volcanic field magmas were derived from associated partial melting of the crust and/or differentiation of these basalt magmas (Duffield and Mckee, 1986; Duffield et al., 1980). The discrete source of the Coso volcanic field lavas is a magma chamber estimated to sit ~11-16 km beneath the West Flank site (Duffield et al., 1980; Hauksson and Unruh, 2007). Dikes sourced from this chamber transported magma to the surface and fed the eruption of the Pliocene to recent rhyolite to basalt lava flows and rhyolite domes (Figure 2). The dikes are predominantly north-northeast striking and steeply dipping, and were intruded along pre-existing north-northeast-striking structures.

Conductive heating of the crust associated with the rhyolite magma chamber is responsible for the elevated heat flow at West Flank (Duffield et al., 1980). Overlying the Coso volcanic field deposits is Quaternary sedimentary cover.

3. Synthesis of Existing Data

A wide variety of data were synthesized for interpretation of the geologic framework of the West Flank site, construction of the 3D geologic model, and confirmation of the suitability of the West Flank site for FORGE activities. These data include geologic map data, lithologic and alteration interpretations from ~15,000 m of core and well cuttings from boreholes in and around the site, well-test data, stress data, thermal data, geochemistry data, alteration data, gravity and magnetic data, a 25 year micro-earthquake catalogue, magnetotelluric data, and interpreted seismic reflection profiles. The lithologic data, structural data, stress data, thermal data, and geophysical data will be discussed here, as they directly relate to the geologic framework of the West FORGE site. The geochemistry data, alteration data, and well flow test data, which provide evidence for low permeability, conductive geothermal gradients, and temperatures that meet or exceed requisite FORGE parameters, are presented in detail in Sabin et al. (2016) and will not be discussed in detail here.

3.1 Lithologic Data

Data from two geologic maps (Duffield and Bacon, 1981, 1:50,000 scale and Whitmarsh 1998b, 1:24,000 scale) were synthesized in order to define the lithologic and structural framework of the West Flank site. These data were utilized as a framework for re-interpretation of the core from well 74-2TCH, the cuttings from wells 83-11 and CGEH-1, and the mud logs from wells 33A-7, 33A-7RD, 88-1RD, 68-6 and 52B-7 (Figures 3 and 4).

The mapped surface geology at the West Flank site consists of Quaternary sedimentary deposits and Pleistocene basalt to rhyolite lava flows and domes unconformably overlying Mesozoic plutonic rocks. Quaternary sedimentary deposits (Qa) consist of alluvium and colluvium and form <10 m thick sedimentary cover in the dry washes and basins to the west of the West Flank FORGE site. Underlying the Quaternary sediments, the Pleistocene volcanic units of the Coso volcanic field consist of predominantly rhyolite domes and associated volcaniclastic and epiclastic successions (Qr). The Qr domes and associated volcaniclastic and epiclastic successions occur as a veneer <500-m-thick, unconformably overlying Mesozoic plutonic rocks. Dikes sourced from the
crustal magma chamber at ~11-16 km depth (Duffield et al., 1980; Hauksson and Unruh, 2007) fed the rhyolite lava flows and domes. These dikes, the intrusive equivalent of Qt, strike predominantly north-northeast and appear to have been intruded along pre-existing structures (Duffield et al., 1980). Qt dikes are evident in wells 33A-7, 33A-7RD, 52B-7, and 68-6. They were intersected by these wells primarily below ~1,000 m bgs and are more numerous below ~2,000 m bgs (Figure 4). Mafic intrusions are volumetrically insignificant and their affinity is poorly constrained (Whitmarsh, 1998a, b).

Four Mesozoic plutonic units have been incorporated into the West Flank conceptual model. These units were originally defined by 1:24,000 scale geologic mapping in support of a structural analysis of the Coso Range (Whitmarsh, 1998a, 1998b). Diorite to quartz-diorite, the intermediate endmember of the Jurassic mixed intrusive complex (Jmci), is the most volumetrically abundant unit at West Flank. Jmci is defined in hand sample by an assemblage of hornblende, plagioclase ± quartz. Downhole lithologic data from the eight wells analyzed confirm the lateral continuity of Jmci throughout the subsurface of the West Flank site (Figure 4). Jmci is locally intruded by and intermingled with Jmcf, Jurassic granite consisting of plagioclase, alkali feldspar and quartz, with ≤ 10% mafic minerals (primarily muscovite ± biotite) (Whitmarsh, 1998b). Jmcf is the felsic endmember of the Jurassic mixed intrusive complex. Contact relationships between Jmci and Jmcf, as defined in the field and in the 74-2TCH core, are highly diffuse, typically consisting of several meters to tens of meters of mixed and intermingled dikes and/or sills of Jmci, Jmcf, and of compositions intermediate between the two endmembers. Magmatic deformation textures are abundant, confirming that Jmci and Jmcf are likely contemporaneous. In the eight wells analyzed for lithologic data, Jmcf occurs almost exclusively at levels shallower than ~1,350 m and rarely deeper than ~3,000 m bgs (Figure 4).

The two other Mesozoic plutonic units evident at the West Flank are a garnet-bearing, quartz and feldspar leucogranite, which we equate to the Jurassic Springhill leucogranite, Jsh and the Cretaceous quartz and alkali feldspar granite of Cactus Flat, Kcf (Whitmarsh, 1998b). Jsh is found predominately in wells CGEH-1 and 74-2TCH which are adjacent to mapped exposures of Jsh (Figures 3 and 4, Whitmarsh, 1998b). Kcf occurs as isolated exposures to the north and west of the West Flank site but was not apparent in cuttings or core from any of the eight wells. Petrographic and X-Ray diffraction analyses of select cuttings from wells 33A-7, 33A-7RD and 83-11, performed in 2011 by the Energy and Geoscience Institute (EGI) at the University of Utah, confirm these lithologic interpretations and inform on alteration mineralogy present in these units. Additionally the distribution of clay alteration with depth broadly correlates with the contemporary temperature profile. The occurrence of smectite is coincident with temperatures less than 180°C, while interlayered smectite-illite or smectite-chlorite is coincident with temperatures between 180°C and 225°C. The occurrence of illite and chlorite is coincident with

Figure 4. Lithologic logs of the eight wells used to characterize the subsurface lithology and structure at West Flank site. See Figure 3 for well locations.
temperatures above 225°C (Henley and Ellis, 1983; Reyes, 1990, Clay and Moore, 2013).

### 3.2 Structural Data

The Duffield and Bacon (1981) and Whitmarsh (1998b) geologic maps were used as constraints on the general structural trends in and around the West Flank site, and on the surface locations and surface geometries of discrete structures (Figure 3). The two primary fault systems are north to north-northeast and west-northwest striking (Bacon et al., 1980; Roquemore, 1980). Inverted focal mechanisms indicate that a north-south to northeast southwest shortening direction and an east-west to northwest-southeast extension direction is characteristic of the Coso Range-Indian Wells Valley region, consistent with these fault systems. The subhorizontal orientation of the principal strain components, suggests strike-slip faulting regime (Hauksson and Unruh, 2007; Unruh et al., 2002).

Natural fractures were picked or already available from the image logs of wells 33-7, 33A-7, 52A-7, 52B-7 and 83-11 (Schoenball et al., 2016; GMI 2000 and 2001). To correct the sampling bias leading to under-sampling of fractures with a steep apparent dip in the wells, a Terzaghi correction was performed (Terzaghi, 1965). With that, each interpreted fracture is given a weight of \( w = \frac{1}{\cos(\phi)} \), where \( \phi \) is the angle between the wellbore trajectory and the fracture normal. The corrected poles were plotted (Figure 5) with contours as exponential Kamb contours (Kamb, 1959; Vollmer, 1995). Both the focal mechanism inversion data and the downhole natural fracture data are consistent with mapped structural geometries, confirming that north to north-northeast and west-northwest striking are the two primary structural trends at the West Flank.

### 3.3 Stress Data

Two methodologies were used to characterize the stress field at the West Flank, 1) data from earthquake focal mechanisms, and 2) image log analyses. Borehole breakouts and drilling-induced tensile fracture data interpreted from image logs of wells 33-7, 33A-7, 52B-7 and 83-11 were incorporated into the local stress field analyses (Table 1).

Earthquake focal mechanisms can be classified as normal faulting, strike-slip or thrust faulting based on the geometry of the moment tensor, i.e. the orientation of the tension (T), compression (P) and neutral (B) axes. We use the focal mechanisms catalog by Yang et al (2012) that covers the period from 1981 to 2014 in and around the West Flank site. These data indicate an abundance of strike-slip and trans-tensional faulting mechanisms. Pure normal faulting mechanisms are rare and no thrust faulting mechanisms are observed.

---

**Figure 5.** Natural fracture picks from image logs of the FORGE wells. Left column shows 3σ-exponential Kamb contours of poles with applied Terzaghi correction, right column shows the fracture strikes weighted by the Terzaghi correction. See Figure 3 for well locations.
Analyses of the wellbore failure data are listed in Table 1. The complete methodology and results of this work is discussed in Schoenball et al. (2016). All wells show an abundance of stress indicators. Tensile failure dominated in wells 33-7, 52B-7 and 83-11 and compressive failure (borehole breakouts) dominates in well 33A-7. These analyses indicate that the orientation of $S_{\text{Hmax}}$ spans N0°E-N8°E (Table 1), hence slightly different from the orientation in the adjacent geothermal field.

### 3.4 Thermal Data

Within the proposed West Flank FORGE site, three test holes, wells 74-2TCH, 48-11TCH and 83-11, have available temperature data. The 83-11 well was the westernmost data point incorporated into the Coso area thermal model prior to the initiation of FORGE. Initial mode isochrons extended several hundred meters west of 83-11 prior to FORGE activates. Temperature data from 74-2 and 48-11 were incorporated to as part of this work, in order to support the West Flank 3D temperature model and expanded the existing model further west.

The temperature profile of the 83-11 well directly demonstrates that temperatures above 175°C occur below ~1500 m depth in the West Flank site, well within the 1.5-4 km depths required for FORGE (Figure 6). The bottom hole temperature of 83-11 exceeds 300°C. The FORGE 3D temperature model was constructed based on all the available temperature data from the Coso geothermal field and the three West Flank wells. The data were contoured using the Kriging method algorithm. Boundary conditions for the model were set as the temperature gradient for the Mojave Desert, which is significantly lower than the temperature gradient assumed in the West Flank based on previous studies. This boundary condition on the thermal model resulted in very conservative estimates of temperature at depth in data sparse areas at West Flank. The calculated temperatures are anticipated to be within ~6°C (20°F), or one contour interval, of the observed data within the modeled area.

### 3.5 Potential Field Geophysical Data

Regional gravity data were collected across the Coso Range as part of a study of southern California (Snyder et al., 1981). In this study, data from 136 gravity stations were collected within and surrounding the West Flank FORGE site with an average spacing of 500 m. Additionally, a higher resolution, local gravity survey across the Coso Volcanic Field was collected (Monastero et al., 2005). This survey contains 237 gravity stations with an average spacing of 260 m.

A high-resolution aeromagnetic survey was flown over the West Flank. The survey has a line spacing of approximately 540 m with a primary direction of N65E and roughly 10% tie lines. The flight height was ~250 m. The data were corrected for diurnal and atmospheric magnetic variations. The available metadata do not indicate whether the data were leveled or not. The International Geomagnetic Reference Field was subtracted from the data to yield local magnetic anomaly values. The values were then interpolated using a tension spline to generate an estimate of the total magnetic anomalies.
field anomaly. Both the magnetic and the gravity data provide regional coverage of the Coso Range-Indian Wells Valley region and allow us to infer the location of major faults within the West Flank.

3.6 Seismic Reflection Data

Forty-five (45) line-km of seismic reflection data were collected in the Coso Range in 2001. The initial analysis and interpretation of these data was performed by Unruh et al. (2001). Optim processed these data by inverting the P-wave first arrivals to create a 2-D velocity structure. Kirchhoff images were then created for each line using velocity tomograms (Unruh et al., 2001). Three of these seismic reflection profiles, lines 109, 110 and 111, are within or proximal to the West Flank FORGE site (Figure 3). Imaging is generally poor as a result of high acoustic attenuation due to the shallow volcanic material and/or low impedance contrasts within the plutonic section. However, the contact between the Pleistocene rhyolite lava flows and domes is evident in all three profiles, as are several faults.

3.7 Magnetotelluric Data

Three magnetotelluric (MT) datasets are available adjacent to and within the West Flank site. The most recent survey, collected in 2011 by Schlumberger/WesternGeco and inverted by the WesternGeco GeoSolutions Integrated EM Center of Excellence in Milan, Italy, expanded the data coverage to the west and covers the West Flank FORGE site. These data were integrated with two earlier surveys, collected in 2003 and 2006 for the present study. A conductive clay cap is visible in the MT data throughout the Coso geothermal field but this low resistivity zone does not extend into the West Flank. The MT signature suggests hydrothermal upflow within the Coso geothermal field. The lack of conductivity at the West Flank is due to a lack of porosity. This low porosity is consistent with petrographic data that demonstrates a lack of fluid flow within veins in 83-11, and with the conductive temperature profile of 83-11. Together these data suggest low permeability and a lack of an existing hydrothermal system at the West Flank.

3.8 Seismicity Data

The microseismic network installed at the Coso geothermal field extends beyond the geothermal field, thereby providing coverage of the West Flank FORGE site. The rate of seismicity in the Coso Range-Indian Wells Valley region is very high, with several earthquakes of significant magnitude recorded during the 80 years of seismicity recorded on the USGS Southern California Seismic Network. The two largest, an M6.3 and an M5.8, occurred in 1946 and 1995 respectively. In the adjacent Coso geothermal field, no earthquakes with M>5.0 have been recorded.

The seismicity catalog from April 1996 to May 2012 consists of over 140,000 processed events, including regional events and teleseismic events. Kaven et al. (2014) obtained absolute re-processed locations for a total of 83,790 of these events over from April 1996 to May 2012. A large number of small to moderate seismic events occur in the southeastern portion of the proposed West Flank site. Seismicity generally is restricted to shallower than ~4-5 km depth and is predominantly microseismicity (M<2.0). This region in the southeastern portion of the West Flank site is separated from the Rose Valley swarm, which has experienced a large amount of naturally occurring seismicity, including some moderate sized earthquakes, by a nearly aseismic region to the west.

4. The Geologic Framework of the West Flank Forge Site

In order to assess the distribution and character of potential EGS reservoirs at West Flank, the above data were synthesized into a 3D geologic model encompassing the area within and around the West Flank FORGE site. The model spans ~35 km² and is centered on the West Flank site. The 3D geologic model is rotated 35° counterclockwise in order to align with the north-northeast-striking and west-northwest to northwest-striking structural grain. The 3D geologic model extends 6.3 km in the west-northwest dimension and 4.2 km in north-northeast dimension (Figure 3), and extends from the land surface, which varies between ~1075 m asl to ~1650 m asl, to a depth of 2500 m bsl, a total of ~4.1 km. Five 2D geologic cross-sections were constructed to synthesize the datasets and incorporated into the 3D geologic model.

4.1 Stratigraphic Framework

The West Flank FORGE site 3D geologic model consists of six discrete lithologic units, Qa, Quaternary sediments; Qr, Quaternary rhyolite; Qr dikes, Quaternary rhyolite dikes, Jsh, Jurassic Springhill leucogranite, Jmcf, Jurassic mixed complex-felsic endmember; and Jmci, Jurassic mixed complex-intermediate endmember. Qr and Qa form a veneer, which is < 500 m thick (and more commonly 10s-100m thick) unconformably overlying the Mesozoic plutonic section (Figure 7). At depths greater than ~100 m bgs, the 3D geologic model consists of exclusively crystalline rock, Jurassic granite rocks (Jmci, Jmcf and Jsh) and Quaternary rhyolite dikes (Qr). Diorite to quartz-diorite, Jmci, is by far the most abundant lithologic unit at West Flank. Jmci constitutes ~88% of the interpreted rock volume of the West Flank 3D geologic model. Jmcf, Jurassic granite is modeled as one intrusion into Jmci. It is ~800 m thick and extends ~3 km x 3 km from the center to
northeast corner of the 3D geologic model.

The Jurassic Springhill leucogranite, Jsh, occurs as two discrete intrusions, in the southwest and northeast of the 3D geologic model. Both Jsh bodies are ~500 m thick. Qr dikes, the feeder for the Qr lava flows and domes, occur as discrete, tabular, steeply dipping dikes on the eastern side of the 3D geologic model (Figure 7).

### 4.2 Structural Framework

The 3D geologic model includes eight faults. Their locations and geometry are constrained by geologic maps, geologic cross-sections, seismic reflection interpretation, the locations of known faults in core, borehole image (structural) data from the eight wells, and the microseismicity data. Five of the modeled faults strike north to northeast and three faults strike west to west-northwest. These orientations are consistent with the general regional structural trends and down-hole natural fracture data.

### 4.3 Uncertainty in 3D Geologic Interpretations

The relative uncertainties in the 3D geologic interpretations were calculated based on relative distance from the input datasets. The primary input datasets utilized for constraining the subsurface 3D geologic geometry are the geologic cross-sections, geologic maps, lithologic logs, and seismic reflection profiles. The distance between the locations of these datasets and all locations within the 3D geologic model were calculated. Relative uncertainty was calculated by fitting the distances to a logarithmic relative uncertainty curve (Figure 8). At locations very near to input data, relative uncertainty in the 3D model is very low (high confidence in the geologic interpretation). With increasing distance from each input dataset, relative uncertainty increases progressively.
Beyond a distance of 1 km, which is the mean spacing of the eight wells used for lithologic analyses and the mean spacing of the geologic cross-sections, the progressive increase in relative uncertainty with distance lessens; that is, the input data are probably too distant to make confident geologic interpretations and therefore the relative uncertainty is already high and cannot increase. Relative uncertainty between zero and one was calculated for the eight wellbores with lithologic data, the geologic map, and the geologic cross-sections. Since constraining the crystalline basement geology is central to this effort, only the distance from mapped basement exposures were calculated. As a result of poor resolution, we have lower confidence in the seismic reflection interpretations than the other input data sets, so the relative uncertainty based on the seismic reflection interpretation was calculated between 0 and 2 with a distance of 1 km set to a relative uncertainty of 1 (Figure 8). The relative uncertainty volumes for all the input datasets were summed to produce a cumulative relative uncertainty for a 3D volume for which the 3D geologic model was constructed (Figure 8). The relative uncertainty analysis indicates that as a result of a high density of data, we have relatively high confidence in the modeled geologic relationships within the West Flank FORGE site. We also have relatively high confidence in the modeled geologic relationships directly to the east of the West Flank site. However, to the north and west of the West Flank site an absence of downhole lithologic data and seismic reflection data limit our confidence in the modeled geologic relationships.

### 4.4 Conditions for Reservoir Engineering at West Flank

Temperature logs indicate that the 175-225°C temperature window required for FORGE spans ~1.5-2.4 km bgs in well 83-11 (Figure 6). Thermal modeling, incorporating data from within the Coso geothermal field, and data from wells 83-11, 74-2TCH and 48-11TCH confirms that the 175-225°C temperature window occurs at these depths, ~1.7-2.4 km bgs, within the West Flank site (Figures 6 and 9). Within this temperature-depth window, from 1.5 km bgs to the base of the model (~4.1 km bgs), ~14 km³ of weakly altered to unaltered diorite to quartz-diorite Jmci, granitic Jmcf, and Qr rhyolite dikes occur (Figure 9 and Table 2). This volume of rock that lies within the FORGE parameters at West Flank, consists of ~12.5 km³ (~85%) unaltered diorite to quartz-diorite Jmci formation and ~1.5 km³, or ~15%, Qr, Quaternary rhyolite dikes.

Based on slip and dilation tendency analysis, we infer the orientations of structures that are most likely to become reactivated during a hydraulic stimulation (Ferrill et al., 1999; Morris et al., 1996). These analyses indicate that the existing natural fault and fracture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Model Volume (km³)</th>
<th>Total model volume within FORGE site (km³)</th>
<th>Total Model Volume between 175-225°C (km³)</th>
<th>Model volume within FORGE site between 175-225°C (km³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All units</td>
<td>131.28</td>
<td>17.37</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qa</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qr</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qr dikes</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jmci</td>
<td>115.97</td>
<td>16.56</td>
<td>12.56</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jmcf</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jsh</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9. Oblique north-east looking view of the West Flank 3D geologic model. The 175°C isotherm surface is yellow and truncated at 1.5 km beneath the ground surface and the 225°C isotherm surface is red. The modeled volume of crystalline rock within the temperature window, extending to ~4.1 km bsl (the base of the model) is 14 km³, ~2.5 km³ of crystalline rock lie within this temperature window and within the vertically extended footprint of the West Flank FORGE site. Note that the elevation scale is relative to sea-level.
systems at West Flank are well oriented with respect to the measured stress conditions for reactivation during well stimulation (Figure 10).

5. Conclusions

Evaluation and synthesis of the multiple geologic and geophysical data sets have facilitated the development of the 3D geologic model of the proposed West Flank FORGE site. These analyses permit confirmation that the West Flank site satisfies each major qualifying criterion set by DOE’s Geothermal Technologies Office for FORGE and represents an ideal candidate for future FORGE activities.

1) Temperature: Well temperature data provide direct evidence that temperatures at the West Flank FORGE site are within the specified 175 to 225°C range. Well 83-11 reaches 175°C at ~1500 m bgs (Figure 5). Based on the 3D geologic model, we demonstrate that there is ~2.5 km³ of crystalline rock at depths of 1.5-4.1 km bgs within this temperature range and within the boundaries of the FORGE site (Figure 9 and Table 2).

2) Low Permeability: As discussed in Sabin et al., 2015, well-test data provide direct evidence for low permeability conditions at the West Flank FORGE site. Air and water lifts and an injection test were performed on 83-11 after the well was completed. These tests demonstrated a buildup of pressure in the wellbore during injection testing and a lack of flow during the air lift suggesting very low permeability. The result of the well testing determined that the well was non-commercial and with low permeability.

3) Crystalline Rock: Analyses of cuttings, core, and thin sections from wells in the West Flank FORGE site demonstrates that the subsurface at West Flank is composed almost entirely of crystalline basement rock. Mesozoic plutonic rocks dominate with smaller volumes of Quaternary rhyolite dikes (Figure 9 and Table 2). Geologic mapping in the West Flank area (Figure 3) confirms that the basement of the West Flank is an assemblage of Jurassic and Cretaceous plutons, sills and dikes, all locally cut or overlain by flows, domes and dikes associated with the <1.0 Ma Coso Volcanic Field.

4) Depth (1.5-4.0 km): Data from wells drilled in the West Flank provide direct evidence that required FORGE temperatures can readily be found in crystalline basement rock between 1.5 and 4.0 km bgs (Figures 4, 6, 9 and Table 2).

5) Favorable Stress Regime for Stimulation: Stress data, structural data, and seismicity data confirm that the West Flank FORGE site is characterized by trans-tensional stress conditions that are conducive to EGS success. These data indicate that the existing natural fracture system is well oriented for stimulation with respect to the measured stress field (Table 1 and Figure 10).

6) Not in an Active Hydrothermal System: The 83-11 static temperature profile illustrates a conductive heat flow (Figure 6). Well tests on 83-11 indicate that it is non-commercial with very low permeability. Subsequent pressure monitoring data comparing 83-11 downhole pressure over time with wells in the Coso hydrothermal field to the east, indicate that there is no pressure connection between 83-11 and the hydrothermal field (Sabin et al., 2016). Cuttings, core, and thin sections from 83-11 and 74-2TCH (Figure 4) demonstrate a locally fractured and faulted crystalline basement with no mineralogical indications of contemporary hydrothermal alteration. MT data suggest that the low resistivity “clay cap,” which is prominent in the Coso hydrothermal system to the east, does not extend to the West Flank (Sabin et al., 2016). Collectively, all of these relationships confirm that an active hydrothermal system does not reside within the proposed West Flank FORGE site.
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